

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,296	12/23/2003	Hyeoun-Joo So	P-0611	7851	
34610 7:	590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,296	SO, HYEOUN-JOO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2617	

	Examino	'Ait Ollit						
	Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) 🗵 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld								
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: see attachment (par. 3). (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20 and 22-24.		Il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate and the sufficient reasons who have a sufficient reasons which it is not a sufficient reason which is not a suffici	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). <u>06/22/2006</u>						
	,	·						

#### **ADVISORY ACTION**

Page 2

#### Art Unit - Notice

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 22, 2006 was filed after the mailing date of the final Office action on April 12, 2006. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

The IDS contains a reference in foreign language. It has been considered to the extent drawings permit. Applicant is encouraged to submit full translation. Should applicant would like the Examiner to consider the Japanese Patent Office communication mentioned on page 2 of the IDS petition/certification Applicant is encouraged to list such in form PTO-1449 and submit a full English translation.

#### Response to Amendment

3. The newly added limitations (underlined) to proposed amended claims have never been claimed before in connection with subject amended claims, and change the scope of the rejected claims. In addition, some dependent claims now require limitations that have never been claimed before in the now required combination. Therefore, they raise new issues.

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PRIMARY EXAMINER

ERF/erf

July 22, 2006